

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2005

LB 217

were trying to anticipate controversy, maybe we should get rid of recreation facilities, because traditionally that's been one of the most controversial areas that we've dealt with, not storm water drainage. Storm water drainage is basic, fundamental civic government 101, and should have the benefit of whatever mechanisms we're allowing for local political subdivisions to deal with the problems. You can also deal with street and road construction and improvements, and related fixtures. And then you get into the whole separate area, which is hardware and software, and that's a whole separate category. But, Senator Flood, let me ask you this, if I may. Relating to the category (a) descriptions, where you have flood control and you've excluded storm water drainage, what is the dollar cap on the bonds that can be issued for an improvement with respect to the category (a) improvements?

SENATOR FLOOD: \$5 million.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Category (a) is everything except the...

SENATOR FLOOD: Libraries.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...computers and...

SENATOR FLOOD: Yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...information technology, right?

SENATOR FLOOD: The cap would be \$5 million.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And that's another thing...

SENATOR JANSSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's another thing I wanted to ask you about. And maybe I should ask you one preliminary question before that. Describe the cap for us on the information technology side.

SENATOR FLOOD: Well, on the information technology side, cities like Omaha and Lincoln would have a cap of \$250,000; cities of