

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 1, 2005

LB 441

Senator Kremer, please, if I could.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer, would you yield to a question from Senator Stuthman?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Senator Kremer. The main reason behind this bill is mainly because of the amount of inspections that they have been doing, and the amount of livestock. And it's beginning...it's below that level that has been established? Is that the way it realistically is?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, it is. They actually were at 60 cents up until a year ago, and had to reincrease to 65, and then that hasn't been enough. Now this 75 is a maximum, too. That's not saying next year they maybe go up to 70. And it could be, with the number of livestock inspected, the year after that it could be even lower again then. So it varies in order to maintain the fees that they...or the revenue that they need to run the inspection service.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Okay. Thank you. How much value do you feel...have you been visiting with any of your constituents or any of the constituents in the brand area? How much value is brand inspections? And how much...how many cattle are captured just because of the brand? Or get back to their rightful owner when cattle are missing? Have you got any idea as to what that amount is?

SENATOR KREMER: I think we have some figures, but you might have to give me just a little bit of time for that. But I think they feel it's very important. Because usually the people in the brand inspection area feel like the whole state should be in the brand inspection area. And that...we had even a bill this year to do that, but it's not going to go anywhere. But now each county that's contiguous to a brand inspection area could be included if they would so desire. But that has to be done through legislation, so. I'll try to get that information to you in...