

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 1, 2005

LR 8

unenlightening, such downers and never uplifting? Because they have put their finger on the pulse of the American public and they know where the American public mind is and they appeal to it. That's why the products they advertise sell when they use those vulgar advertisements.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When I say "vulgar" now I'm not talking about profanity. I'm not talking about obscenity. I'm talking about that which lacks class and taste. I'm not going to allow the constitution of this state, a state which hates me, which has contempt for me, I'm not going to allow the constitution of this state to be vulgarized and trivialized. I'm not angry at Senator Schrock because he's carrying water for the anglers, the trappers, and the hunters, but I have a higher calling than that as a member of this Legislature and I'm going to carry it out by trying to stop everything that I think would degrade the constitution. For the information of Senator Schrock and others, I have tried to eliminate from the constitution some of those things that are there which I think are there inappropriately. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Friend, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, Sir...Senator "Sir" Edward Schrock, that was...that's passion. Okay? I like it. Now, he didn't do it for me, I know that. He did it because there were...there are eight people in his committee. He, in a lot...in some ways with a velvet hand, velvet hammer, whatever, runs that committee. I was on that committee and he runs it pretty efficiently. He does a good job. And seven people on that committee voted to move this out to the floor. One was absent. I think that will be addressed probably here in a little bit. But the bottom line, to me, is that clearly this is worthy of discussion, clearly this is worthy of debate. Is it worthy of 37, 36 amendments on here to scuttle it? Possibly. I'm not even going to say that Senator Chambers is wrong in his convictions. As a matter of fact, I had mentioned yesterday on the record that a