

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 76

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Okay. Thank you, Senator Baker.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Would Senator Baker yield to another question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker, would you yield?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Baker, I'm kind of concerned about the taking of a person's driver's license for 60 days in this regard. Can you tell me...I assume that the DMV brought this. And can you tell me what the harm...or what they're trying to get at? And I mean, I can understand if an individual is driving a commercial truck, a large semi that's under this law. But what you're saying is that a person who holds a CDL license, who makes a couple mistakes in his or her personal car, is going to be denied their livelihood for 60 days.

SENATOR BAKER: I can equate this to my own operation. I would be very concerned if I had an employee who had two convictions outside of the commercial vehicle I would have them driving. I would want them suspended for 60 days. If they can't adhere to the state's traffic laws in their personal vehicle, for instance, and are guilty twice within this time frame, I wouldn't want them driving my commercial truck. I'll be quite honest with you.

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, here's my concern. If you look at the definition of "serious traffic violation"...and that is existing language, right?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, it is.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. That is not new. So help me out with this. Say an individual who has a CDL is on a Sunday trip with