

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 76

they're less restrictive.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what version of this act does this bill refer to?

SENATOR BAKER: It's what was adopted as of January 1 of 2005.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that in the bill? Because I don't see that.

SENATOR BAKER: No, it's not. It was in the bill I think we had previously adopted, or I think it's on Final Reading, that got us into compliance with the federal regulations dealing with hazardous materials.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So should that be included here? Would it be necessary, so that you know which form of this regulation LB 76 is being keyed into?

SENATOR BAKER: I think when it refers to 49 C.F.R. part 172, it would be what's currently in effect on the federal level. I think by referring to this, it would say, these are effective January 1, 2005. So I don't think we'd have to put anything additional in the green copy here, because it is referring to 49 C.F.R. part 172, which is effective January 1, 2005.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose Congress repeals it before that time, and we still have this language in the statute. What then?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, we're going to have to go back. And I assume if they repeal it when we are out of session or, you know, before we can act upon it next year, it simply would be disregarded and would not be applicable to what we have in the statutes. Our statutes would not be applicable in this case.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, without me raising another issue that I see whenever reference is made to a federal statute or regulation, you're saying that under the language of part 172, subpart F, the federal legislation does not take effect until what year?