

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 71

with the ethanol industry, the incentives that we have given to that, realistically, you know, if it raises the value of my corn of 10 cents a bushel, that's dollars in the pocket. If it's something that's in competition with me, then I am a little bit concerned about it. But realistically, when you can get more dollars generated into the communities, into the rural communities, which this is very important for, and then the agriculture, which is our number one industry, if we can just get more dollars, I think there's one thing that the state is going to realize this year. Because the ag economy has been quite a bit better, livestock prices have been good, the yields this year have been pretty good. The price isn't very good, but just the past year, there was an opportunity to sell corn at a very respectable price. I think one thing that is going to come into the coffers of the state of Nebraska this year is a lot of money from the rural areas, in the income tax part of it. That's going to help substantial in the balance of the economic picture of the state of Nebraska. So that's what we look at. You know, I think we always have to keep in mind that, you know, agriculture is the number one industry. And if we can just...if we can do some things, you know, that increase the value of it, increase competition for that, I think it's something that's very, very viable. But yet, I'm really concerned about trying to jump-start something that realistically doesn't mean a lot, will never have any future. But that can be handled into the regulations, the guidelines, and the accountability for it. This is not a giveaway program at all. This is something, you know, that I think can be worked out, you know. If the \$1 million, you know, can generate...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: ...millions of dollars back in revenue to the state of Nebraska, then it's very, very good. I mean...but I think we need to keep that in mind. I don't think the \$1 million that's going to be going into it, you know, is just \$1 million out the door and never get anything back. Then I would be totally, totally opposed to it, because then it would be a giveaway program. But if we can increase anything that would bring more value to communities, to save agriculture and keep that as our number one business, livestock is a very, very