

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 71

will people think, who read the bill, when they find out that the Legislature has made all these glowing findings, made all these statements about how these grants are going to benefit the agricultural sector, then they apply for a grant and they're told there's no money there? And you say, no money? And then innocent, naive people that the citizens are, thinking that the statutes mean what they say, will bring you a copy of the statute book and say, but this says that the Legislature, it's their intent to appropriate this money. When they say it's their intent, that means they mean to do it. So the money has got to be there; the law says it's there. Then you begin to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...try to explain. Well, no, that's not how money is put into any program and made available. There has to be an appropriation bill, and the Legislature didn't appropriate any money. I will stop now, Mr. President, because I've got to go into consultation anyway. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on the bracket motion. On with discussion. Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I rise in opposition to the bracket motion. And Senator Chambers may have some ideas that could be worked out with Senator Kremer. And obviously, if the bracket motion is adopted, then they won't get that opportunity. So I'm going to rise in opposition to the bracket motion. On the underlying bill, I think it's important...Senator Landis brought up some interesting points, and I think it's valid for us to have that discussion. Obviously, the Revenue Committee is having that discussion. Where should we set priorities? What should our funding look like? That includes some of the proposals for all of Nebraska for economic development. Part of that includes the discussion on rural Nebraska's economic development package or proposals that may be there. And I think that's appropriate. And for us to be able to enter into that discussion as a body, to give some direction to the Revenue Committee, I think is helpful to them, or at least from our perspective, whether it's