

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 71

prioritization to economic development generally in the Revenue Committee, it would be...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...hypocritical to demand that of the rural sector. However, right now that's what we're trying to do. I need the same sense of prioritization from them.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion. Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members. Senator Stuhr, would you yield to a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you yield?

SENATOR STUHR: Certainly.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Relative to the fiscal note, I'm looking at the first page and the administrative cost of anywhere from \$66,000 to \$68,000 per year. Could you help enlighten me relative to these fiscal...or these administrative costs associated with the bill? Are these new state employees, essentially, that are going to be needed to oversee the program or...

SENATOR STUHR: Senator Synowiecki, what we did in the new A bill, which would follow, is to take...reduce that amount, and we took \$20,000 out of that \$1 million for administration of the grants, so that it wasn't, you know, an additional appropriation.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: So you did take \$20,000 out of the \$1 million...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...for administrative costs, but they're identifying...