

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 71

our budget because of it. So I kind of...kind of gave at the bank at the moment, but it was done because I want to be helpful here, and here's another \$50 million bucks. I'm not sure if that's it. There are three bills, one of them my own, on how to make the rural economic development bill better. Doesn't cost any money, that's true, but it does make it more available and to more people, however, more to larger communities more than...rather than smaller communities. We've got a bill in on certified local investment, which is designed for small businesses essentially in rural areas. It costs \$3 million bucks. There's a \$2 million bill in on small business rural microenterprise tax credit, and there's an open-ended livestock modernization expansion--essentially LB 775 for stockyards, cattle operations, and pig operations. I'll be helpful. I am a rural...I'm an urban senator who wants to be helpful to rural Nebraska. I think it's important, but I need help in identifying what it is that I most valuably can do. The other day I brought a bill to the Banking Committee, rather like this bill. It's for a program that already exists. Costs a couple of hundred thousand bucks for each of two years. It's to design to put venture capitalists together with Nebraska entrepreneurs. Banking Committee held the bill. Asked the Chairman, what's the story? He said, look, Dave, it's not that they dislike the bill; they just didn't have a sense of the priority of what was most important. And you know, I said to myself, I understand that completely. I get it. And, by the way, the Banking Committee is filled these days with skinflints. I mean, we'd be proud to have them on the Revenue Committee, for God sakes. Rich Pahls, got to be...this is no pushover; Mick Mines; Chris Langemeier; Mike Flood; Jim Jensen; Pam Redfield--these are tough, tightfisted, conservative folks who won't let the bill out, not because they don't want venture capital and they don't want entrepreneurs to work. They just want to make sure that if they spend some money it's for the best way to spend money. And, by the way, I resonate with that. That is what we are struggling with right now on the Revenue Committee, because the banking...I'm sorry, because the business community has brought us a smorgasbord with absolutely no sense of what the most important dollar to spend for economic development is. I must say I have a risk of hypocrisy if I cannot bring any sense of prioritization to what I do. If we can't bring any