

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2005 LB 7

(inaudible).

SENATOR BOURNE: Is there another way...I mean, if that's the object, why don't we just, in the bill, say, and no state shall incur liability if this activity is conducted on the state highway?

SENATOR BAKER: (Inaudible)

SENATOR BOURNE: That would be my first question. And then my second question is, isn't this conduct, what you're trying to get at, already regulated by the Political Subdivision Tort Claims Act?

SENATOR BAKER: You could say, yes, we could grant immunity from liability to the state highway to the state of Nebraska on these...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BAKER: ...particular roads. But then it goes back to whether I'd even support the bill. I personally had questions whether I would support this if we did allow it on state highways. Because as you said, traffic is moving right along, and there are a number of state highway go through small towns, there are no stop lights on them. And quite frankly, I would absolutely not want somebody soliciting on, say, Highway 34 going through my hometown.

SENATOR BOURNE: Right, but they would not be able to solicit in a small town if the committee amendment is adopted, because it has to be at specified times and locations when stopped by traffic control devices. So the committee amendment would preclude an individual from doing that on a state highway that doesn't have a traffic control device.

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, it would. But between...some of these towns are one-stoplight towns, Senator Bourne, out there. And there's fairly fast traffic outside the stoplight area on these highways that do go through these municipalities.