

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2005 LB 66

Senator Landis introduced? Senator Chambers, are you recognized to do so?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Mr. President, I think the amendment was explained by Senator Landis. And it will make sure that there's not a conflict...oh, and Senator Landis is here. So I would relinquish my time to Senator Landis.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: I was just doing the latest chapter of the natural gas wars out in the lobby. Don't worry; your time is coming. It is exactly as Senator Chambers was about to say. This is simply to harmonize the appeal process to LB 66. I would ask for the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. You've heard the closing. The question before the body is, shall AM0518 be adopted to the committee amendments? All in favor vote aye; opposed, nay. The question before the body is adoption of AM0518. Record please, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 36 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Landis' amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The motion was successful. The amendment has been adopted. Back to discussion of committee amendments to LB 66. Open for discussion. There are no lights on, Senator Landis. You may close on the committee amendments if you care to.

SENATOR LANDIS: Just to say that...and to substantiate the explanation that Senator Pederson gave Senator Chambers, we have taken out the power of local decision makers to exercise arbitrary authority. The standards of the National Historic Register need to be met. The State Historic Preservation Officer is...needs to be...to certify that fact. And this will not be subject to, let's say, local arbitrary practices, or designated buildings that do not have genuine historic relevance. And towards that end, I support the committee amendments, and of course the bill itself, having been endorsed,