

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2005 LB 72

are a lot of different levels of security necessary for various events. A very common one would be enforcing the liquor laws that we have, primarily at a temporary event. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes,...

SENATOR SMITH: Let me give you an example.

SENATOR STUHR: ...there are different levels, yes, of course.

SENATOR SMITH: Right. Let me give you an example. I mean, being a bodyguard for someone is different than making sure someone does not carry an open container out of an event that is in a confined area. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Does this bill, in hopefully as short of an answer as possible, does this bill treat everyone the same, or would there still be the flexibility or are we just going to have one standard for that entry into the industry?

SENATOR STUHR: If you are a contract security guard or a proprietary security guard, you would have to meet the standards set forth in the bill.

SENATOR SMITH: So if there was a volunteer security guard at an event at the county fair, who had "security" on their T-shirt because they were preventing those from leaving the event with an open container, would those folks need to be licensed?

SENATOR STUHR: If they are a volunteer, no, they would not, is my understanding. They would not.

SENATOR SMITH: So the mere voluntary status would be the triggering mechanism, or lack thereof, to being licensed?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, I think, Senator Smith, it would depend if they would be carrying a gun. And, of course, if they would be carrying a gun, they would have to meet certain requirements