

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2005 LB 72

process, so that is already in statute and covered, but, you know, if there's some additional areas. We do have the firearms statement on page 5. They must submit evidence of successfully completing any education and training requirements established by the Secretary of State by rule and regulation. So that training, we debated that issue over and over again, but, you know, if you wanted to be more specific,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: ...but I would need help in how we could make that more specific. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise with great concern about the underlying premise of LB 72 and the attempt to, I think, as Senator Bourne pointed out, place a barrier of entry. And I think that Senator Stuhr's efforts are well-intended and she probably remains convinced that this is necessary. I remain convinced that this is not necessary. I believe that oftentimes it is appropriate for government to step in and regulate certain industries and activities in the state; however, I do not believe that this is one and I will attempt to explain why. Regulating or placing licensure for security guards is unnecessary because their typical clientele is much more sophisticated than someone needing a haircut, than someone purchasing natural gas, than a lot of other activities that we regulate here in the state. I sat on the Government Committee and heard this bill prior to this. I've tried to keep an open mind and I really think that this is creating not only a barrier to entry into this activity or this industry, but it also establishes another level of government that is not necessary. I do have a question for Senator Stuhr, if she would yield.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you respond to a question from Senator Smith?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Stuhr, I think you'll agree that there