

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2005 LB 72

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If there is no regulation, that is one thing. There ought to be. But when the regulation is to be put in place it should be meaningful, effective, and of substance. This bill attempts to cover the waterfront, but for that very reason I think detailed discussion is necessary for practically every part of it, including some of the definitions, such as unlawful act or unlawful conduct. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. On with discussion of the committee amendments, Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I had mentioned to Senator Stuhr that there were some drafting...in my mind, some drafting issues with the bill. And I have undertaken drafting an amendment that I believe would resolve them, but it's not done. I didn't anticipate that we would get here today. And so I'm going to...I'm going to outline my concerns with the bill and maybe we could have some discussion. Maybe...obviously, it's Senator Stuhr's call. Maybe we'll pass over the bill. I don't know. I do have a fundamental opposition to regulating anything and everybody that we can. And last year, you recall the great tattoo artist debate. Senator Stuhr is hopefully laughing, but maybe not. I just don't believe that we should regulate anybody and every entity that we can. Even though, I give you, we have the power to do that, I believe government should stay out of people's business to the extent possible. That being said, I do think if we are going to regulate an entity then...or a group of persons, that we should do it properly and it should be drafted narrowly, tightly, and quite clearly so that people know what their obligations are under the law. I'm going to outline a couple of my questions and then Senator Stuhr can figure out how to proceed from here, if we just want to pass the bill over, work on an amendment, or, as persuasive as she is, she might persuade us to move it over to Select File and then with the commitment that we'll have an amendment. But I don't think that it's clear as to who is and isn't required to be licensed under the bill. And also, I do want to go back. I think a lot of times entities will come in and testify on this type of legislation because, in