

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2005 LB 150

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I hope my agricultural colleagues are listening. The Revenue Committee is debating a new round of business tax incentives, and I think that's appropriate. I would also say that I think agriculture has benefited from LB 775. But there's a perception out there that most of the money and benefits have gone to our urban areas. But ag processing and ethanol plants have benefited from LB 775. And I appreciate that. I've always been supportive of LB 775. But as they debate this new round of incentives, I always have to ask myself, what's going to be in it for rural Nebraska? What's going to be in it for agriculture? And I've also asked myself...we as agriculture has been expected to pay most of our own way as we go along, by way of checkoffs, by way of a checkoff on grain for the ethanol plants. I don't know what the business community in this state does to help finance these business tax incentives. To me, it's all been a one-way street. The state gives, but the business tax...the business community doesn't contribute towards helping that climate. And so I have to ask myself, why is...why are we in agriculture expected to pay for our own incentives when the state of Nebraska and the General Fund essentially pays for the business tax incentives. And I wouldn't mind if somebody from the Revenue Committee would respond to that. And Senator Connealy, it looks like you're...am I perceiving this wrong? Is the agriculture community supposed to pay for their own tax incentives, while the General Fund pays for the business tax incentive? Is that what's...that's kind of been what's going on. Is that what you're debating in the Revenue Committee? And what are we going to come up with?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Connealy, will you respond?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, yes. I think that agriculture needs to be part of the mix when we redo incentives. And I...it's my purpose to do that, to be part of what we do in