

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2005 LB 126

allotted time and also there's been speakers when these amendments were introduced. So I think we need to do this very carefully and I'm against calling the question at the present time. I think the Chair should be overruled, and that's where I would like to see this thing go for now. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Louden. Senator McDonald.

SENATOR McDONALD: And I also support in overruling the Chair. My amendment was concerning option enrollment. Now, there were a lot of people that brought up other issues besides option enrollment, because this is a very much a concern of this bill. Whether they talk about option enrollment or if they talk about the bill in general shouldn't make any difference as far as seven speakers. There are other issues that are involved in this. I believe we need more discussion on option enrollment because we need to be concerned why our students that are optioning out of Class I's are being penalized. Our Class I's are being penalized because of option enrollment, allowing them to move out of the system, and for no reason but because sometimes there isn't even a class there. We haven't discussed this enough. I believe that we need to continue on this to answer more questions, to ask more questions, and I believe that we need to overrule the Chair. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator McDonald. Senator Schrock, motion to overrule the Chair. Waives the opportunity. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I don't think anybody is attempting to limit debate. There's...there are amendments on file now that will take us through the lunch hour, and we'll start off again tomorrow, and if somebody wants debate, they can file more amendments. But I think the...I think the effort is to keep us flowing through the different amendments with whatever time we need to discuss those specific amendments, and obviously the conversation, all the conversation that was to be had on a particular amendment, had apparently been had, because there was enormous amounts of time being spent on the broader issues, which is okay. But if we're going to...I mean, seven people spoke. That's a lot of people