

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2005 LB 126

think we can keep discussing this and come up with a fair way to proceed. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. On with the list of the names that were on, Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I'm going to rise in support of Senator Fischer's request to overrule the Chair, and here's why. The real decision here probably isn't about this bill. I guess the question is, do we want to set a precedent on this early set...early time in the session that six people is enough for any debate and then we can move on? Because, literally, that's what we're going to do. We're going to say, hey, we did it on LB 126; six people, let's call the question. Now, if you can file more amendments and you can get more people to talk, so be it, but I'm not sure we want to do it at this level at this early stage in our legislative session. So, regardless of where we're at on the amendment, there probably needs to be more discussion. I think what Senator McDonald is asking us to do with the amendment is appropriate. My light was on. Whether or not I would have got a chance to speak before Senator Baker called the question is probably irrelevant because we would get a chance to discuss this some more, but the bigger issue is whether or not we want to set six speakers as our decision to set the precedent for this session before you can call the question. I think that's too few. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Louden.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yes, Mr. President, I also rise to support Senator Fischer's motion to overrule the Chair. Part of the reason is you say there's been six lights, but three or four of those people spoke for, spoke against the amendment, or they didn't even speak at all about the amendment. I think Senator Stuhr was one of them. Some of the answers that Senator Raikes had when he spoke wasn't exactly with the amendment. So I really think that we need not set a precedent here that seven people. I thought we would at least have a longer time period and a longer time frame. The reason it seems like it's been a long time, because most of the seven speakers have used up their