

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2005 LB 126

I come up with a scenario to maybe help me understand it, and I was hoping you will help me through this. I was just thinking, if the federal government maybe had come up with a study and looked at the efficiency of the states and decided that we had some states that were kind of small and not very efficient, and they did a study and they come up with a bill that decided they was going to take the smaller states and assimilate them into the larger states to gain efficiency and make the state governments more effective. And being as Nebraska would be a smaller state, they are going to be assimilated into Iowa. The federal government, not one to make anybody made, says it will be up to the local people whether Nebraska remains a state or they just become part of Iowa and then they are one big state called Iowa. Also part of the bill in the federal government that had passed, it did away with the Nebraska Legislature. This decision would be totally in the hands of the people from Iowa and the Iowa Legislature. I kind of wonder, do you think that the people of Nebraska will think that the people from Iowa or the Iowa Legislature will make that prudent decision, what is right or wrong?

SENATOR RAIKES: I commend you on your visionary thoughts, Senator. I can't even, right off the top of my head, comprehend all the constitutional issues, both federal and state, that would be involved in the proposal. And let's see, did you say maybe the federal government was going to merge the United States, Canada and Mexico, too, for efficiency, or you didn't get quite that far?

SENATOR HEIDEMANN: Let's stay with my scenario.

SENATOR RAIKES: All right. And again, you'll have to repeat the question, and try to keep it fairly specific, if you would.

SENATOR HEIDEMANN: The whole gist of it is, is if that would happen, we would not have a say whether we would be a state or not. We would not have a vote. It would be up to someone else. Do you think that would be justifiable? Would that be right?

SENATOR RAIKES: You know, I don't. And I don't think it would be right, if we can bring this back to LB 126, if you don't