

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 8, 2005 LB 53

voter fraud?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No.

SENATOR SMITH: No. Okay. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you. Senator Beutler, you're recognized.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I support the bill, and I guess I'll support the amendment, too, if that's necessary in order to reach a consensus in the group. Senator Schimek, I would like to, though, have a little dialogue with you on intent, and perhaps a possible amendment or two on Select File, which hopefully can make things a little clearer. But I'm not sure I'm right on what I'm saying, and so I want to ask you. And I want to acknowledge, first of all, that you had an extremely ill-drafted set of statutes to deal with, in my opinion, for openers.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Schimek, will you yield?

SENATOR BEUTLER: So Senator, let me state...let me be sure I understand the framework of the law, and then let me state some of the things that I would be interested in. First of all, in Section 1 of the bill, Section 29-112 is changed. And then in Section 2 of the bill, 29-113 is changed. Now, 29-112, is that...is the intent of that statute to deal only with the laws of the state of Nebraska?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And then for 29-113, the intent is only to deal with the laws of other states. Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Now, in the first instance, 112, it uses the language "Any person sentenced to be punished." In the parallel statute that applies to other states, it says "Any person who has been convicted." Is there any reason that you know of for using two different words?