

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 3, 2005 LB 263

here doesn't affect the underlying...

SENATOR LANDIS: That's right.

SENATOR LOUDEN: ...reason for what's in that bill. And I probably agree with that. So I guess my question is, what is the language in there for?

SENATOR LANDIS: What I can tell you is that there isn't a substantive change in...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...assessment practices, but for what I described to you as a working definition of "parcel." Because "parcel" is something that is going to...needs to be defined. And that is a contiguous tract of land in the same ownership and same tax district. "Parcel" is used repeatedly throughout the statutes, and apparently we needed to have a definition for it. Other than that, there is not a substantive change in the way that we assess. I will tell you that the language essentially is meant to be better language to say than what we do now. What you were referring to on page 15 says, the property tax administrator shall--and this used to be the language--for preparation of his or her reports and certification--an unnecessary phrase we strike--employ the methods specified in Section 77-112--okay, unchanged--the sales assessment--this now says, the comprehensive assessment ratio,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: You may continue.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...it's simply a change of a name, but it's the same process--the comprehensive assessment ratio study which was specified in Section--so it cites the one that we're talking about--other statistical studies, and an analysis--rather than "studies," it uses the word "analysis"--of the assessment practices of the county. What that is, is for the most part substituting language for existing language in which you describe the process better. We say a comprehensive assessment ratio instead of a sales assessment ration. We say an analysis instead of a study. That's what that language does. It's not a