

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 3, 2005 LB 15

passing, and expenses. This deletes that requirement. This changes the TERC's responsibility in terms of preparing a record for submitting to the court of appeals. It eliminates the 30-day deadline. And it does place on the appellant the obligation to pay for the record before the record is sent to the court. I can tell you, there are a handful of other such changes. They are, I assure you, technical in nature. I will, if you want me to, to go into greater length and greater detail if this holds interest for you. I will, however move on to the committee amendment if you would like me to do so at this point. Mr. President,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: You may do so if...

SENATOR LANDIS: ...that is an accurate description of the most significant elements. But I must tell you, there are a dozen to 20 minor technical changes in the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Chairman Landis, as you're...as Chairman of the committee, you're recognized to open on the committee amendments to LB 15, AM0030.

SENATOR LANDIS: Well, one of the virtues of dealing with Bob Wickersham is, once he brings you his bill, he goes back and grades his own paper. And you know what? He doesn't give himself a passing grade. He always had red pencil marks on his previous work, that he's...there's an even higher standard that he could achieve. And so he brings his own committee amendments with him to the committee for the bill that he just talked you introducing, because he got a new idea and a better way to say it in the committee amendments. So I would like to essentially pass on the committee amendments that were offered to us by Senator Wickersham for his bill, which is to harmonize decisions and appeal deadlines; to clarify that only persons that could have appealed the original decision are allowed to petition TERC for relief if he or she failed to receive notice--in other words, that Falotico case. The only people who can make use of that section are people who appealed...who could have appealed the original decision, and didn't because they didn't get notice. It clarifies the kinds of protests and petitions to which this right applies, the Falotico decision response