

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2005 LB 96

version of it, with the word "military," that is a moronic oxymoron. The military is not efficient. The military makes no pretense at being efficient. The military is usually given everything it wants. That's why \$5 billion will be spent every month for the military without any significant oversight or accounting. I don't trust the military or would-be military people. Now that we have this program before us for scrutiny, I think there are some changes that need to be made. This is money from the taxpayers. I think it should be spent only in a state-supported or public university, college, or community college. No independents, that's plural; no nonprofits; no independents for profit or any others; only the state-supported schools, only the public schools. If we leave it to the military to make a determination, they probably would be able to do it on the basis of individuals. If they like Senator Landis because he rules with that iron fist that the military likes and you need consistency, you need predictability, you need unity of command, he'd get 100 percent, or, like athletes like to say, and their coaches, 110 percent. On the other hand, Senator Cudaback, more low-key, more laid back, willing to accommodate the foibles of his colleagues, would get 75 percent, because he's not really, quote, military, unquote. If we allow a sliding scale, as Senator Thompson proposes to do, and I don't think it's her intent at all, whoever makes these decisions could make them in a discriminatory manner. In times past, I've had complaints from females about how they were not given fair treatment in the National Guard. There were some Jewish members of the Guard who had some inappropriate thing said when they had deployed...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to Europe and comments were made about the Holocaust, the death camps and similar things. I do not want to give that kind of discretion to the military. So, although on its face what Senator Thompson is offering is less objectionable than what is in the current bill being proposed by Senator Janssen, I will not agree to that and I hope that we won't. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. On with