TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2005 LB 261

relevant, and so that some decisions when they go to the TERC are overturned. What abut the decisions that the Ag Land Valuation Boards make that go to TERC and are not overturned, and that TERC rules in favor of? We're equalizing the property taxes that people pay. We have in the body a Legislative Performance Audit Committee, and that committee looks at the different agencies and bodies and boards. I would like to see perhaps the Ag Land Valuation Board studied, to see if they're doing the job. That board was put into statute ten years ago, Senator Stuhr, ten years ago, and so maybe we do need to look at it to see if they are, in fact, doing their job. Senator Schimek's committee, the Government Committee, looks at boards and commissions, but the ones that are on the local level, not necessarily the ones on the state level. And maybe her committee, although it would be a lot more work for her and her committee, maybe they should look at some of state-sanctioned committees. The board was created by state statute a number of years ago, and it has been doing its job, notwithstanding the county assessors' opinions. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hudkins. Senator Thompson, on the motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. I've been listening to the debate this morning on this issue and, you know, I don't have a dog in this fight, but I'm listening and making a decision on how I want to vote, based on the discussion and the recommendation of the committee. But what I'm struck by is what happens to us over and over and over again here in the Legislature and in the state. Once you start something, you never can end it. We've been hearing from the Governor about efficiency in government. I think there probably isn't a person here who ran for office without using that word as one of the ways that we were going to address tax issues, how we were going to make government better. We were going to make it more efficient. So we have on the books something that was created not that long ago, and then since that time we do things a bit differently. And Senator Stuhr had the guts to come out and say, well, maybe we ought to get rid of something that isn't that important. We keep hearing this, do no harm. Well, what