

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2005 LB 261

football, but if there is a play that is completed and a coach thinks that the referee made an incorrect ruling, he will throw a red flag on the field and the referee will go review the films of that play. And sometimes the referee will come back and change it. Sometimes the referee will come back and uphold it. But before he announces his decision, he always says, upon further review. So upon further review, maybe you will follow my questions and I can get a direct answer. Senator Stuhr, whom does this bill harm other than the taxpayers?

SENATOR STUHR: I believe, Senator Chambers, that I mentioned the time factor and the local expense, and that the decisions that the board make are no more...are no longer relevant since TERC was introduced in 1995.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But there is no group of people who can be identified as being harmed because these boards exist, is there?

SENATOR STUHR: Just the taxpayers, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, are you aware that it costs far more to execute somebody than to keep somebody in prison for life?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, I am.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it costs the taxpayers a lot more to maintain the death penalty, doesn't it?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that argument, although shown to be absolutely correct, has never moved this Legislature to abolish the death penalty, has it?

SENATOR STUHR: No, it hasn't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Members of the Legislature, it is an argument without much force to say that something harms the taxpayers and that alone is the reason a certain action ought to be taken. There are people who, as a