

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 27, 2005 LB 118

this...the first comments I made was to lay out the disparity between the one Senator Cunningham is aiming at and the one he's trying to help. And I'm not opposed to him trying to close that gap. But the method chosen, with this language about the request within the 30 days, receiving a written request, and then, if it's not responded to, certain things come from that, all of those elements could lead to litigation. Senator Beutler touched on it when he said, if a request is made but it doesn't contain every item that the statute lays out, would that be considered a request which, if the supplier did not respond to, it would be deemed approved? Well, that would go to court. So if we eliminate all of these specific items, each of which by itself could lead to a challenge, we use the terms that would require the supplier to behave in a reasonable manner in replying or disapproving, then if the dealership feels that what the supplier did was unreasonable, the only issue for the court to look at is what happened between the supplier and the dealer, and did the supplier behave in a way that was reasonable.

SENATOR HUDKINS: All right. Well, that's what I meant.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR HUDKINS: So thank you very much.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hudkins. Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Senator Cudaback and members. Thank you, Senator Hudkins. You clarified the question I was going to ask on "reasonable." Senator Chambers, would you answer a question, please?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I will answer four questions.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Anything for me, right?