

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 27, 2005 LB 118

with that, I think I will...although I have one more hypothetical that I wanted to track through with Senator Cunningham, I think I'll wait until I have a new five minutes, Senator Cudaback. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Hudkins, followed by Senators Cunningham, Chambers, and Beutler. Senator Hudkins.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. Senator Chambers, I would like to ask you a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Okay. Senator Chambers, I have your language up on the computer, and I understand what the amendment does. The only question I have is, how do you define "unreasonably"?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator, you ask the perfect question. If a dispute arises, it's going to go to court, just as the language that exists in the bill now would require a court resolution if the dispute arises. But at least you now have a standard that the courts recognize. When you say "reasonable" or "unreasonable," they usually will say--although it's not any more precise, but it carries a meaning to the courts--what a reasonable individual would do under these circumstances.

SENATOR HUDKINS: So in the original language, it is your feeling that the heavy hand is being dealt by the local implement dealers, and what your amendment would do...well, let me back up. You think that in Senator Cunningham's bill, that then the local dealers would have more power than you really want them to have? Is that...?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR HUDKINS: No?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No. What I'm saying through all of