

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 27, 2005 LB 118

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Again, with the view to discussing some things that may be potential weaknesses of the bill, to bring them to your attention and to see what...how you feel about them, and perhaps to discover some way of improving the bill, either through amendment or through your statements of intent on the floor, which then become a part of the legislative record and, as you know, are used by the court...may be used by the court to interpret language that is capable of being interpreted in more than one way. And as you know, lawyers usually discover a way that they can interpret things more than one way. I want to go back, again, to the question I asked you yesterday, to at least get a fuller statement of intent with regard to the matter, Senator. But just to remind the body a little bit, we're talking about a provision here where the supplier is required to approve or deny a request to transfer, within 60 days after receiving a written request from the dealer. The request also says, "shall" include certain types of information, reasonable financial information, personal background information, character references, and work histories. My question to you yesterday was, what if one of those categories was entirely left out? So fact number one, the request is in, a category is entirely left out, and the supplier makes no reply. Is that deemed to be approved in that instance, or not approved? Because the request was inadequate in terms of what it was to supply.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, at least, my intention here would be that it would be on the back of the supplier at this point, if he got the request, if he wasn't happy with the information that was in the request, to respond and to ask for more information or clarification.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. That's helpful. Let's say then that he does request additional information. Now, the original time line was 60 days. And it was 60 days after receiving the written request. Now, would it be your intention in this instance that if a request for additional information was made by the supplier, that a new 60-day period would run from the time that the dealer supplied the additional information?