

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2005 LB 118

in 60 days, but my request had been deficient to some extent. I go ahead and sell to a buyer. Am I safe?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, I would assume that the new buyer would have it lined up ahead of time and he would know that he was going to have that contract. So...but if the person that currently owns the business, he would...what, quite frankly, what would happen, they would go to court. That's what it would end up.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, what would the court say, Senator? That's what I'm interested in.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, we're not certain what the court would say, but we feel they have a legitimate...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, is there...is there anything we can do to the bill to help make it more certain as to what the court would say?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, I can tell you that this was what the dealers and the manufacturers agreed upon. They thought it was better than the language they currently have, and they agreed to go ahead at this point. Now, if we got to that point, I would be the first to tell you, I would guess it could end up in court.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: And I can't tell you a lot more about it. I don't know what the courts would say. But currently, manufacturers have a list of things that they expect out of their dealers and, if the new buyer complied with all of those requirements, I would think that the new buyer would have a ground to stand on in court.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'm just suggesting, Senator, that maybe we should give some more thought to that. Because, after all, a dealer is going to transfer property based on the nonreceipt of information, and if that, by operation of law, approval is dependent on a certain type of request having been presented and