

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2005 LB 20

defined in." Then it will cross-refer to the Game and Parks sections of law. And we will not have all of this definition of "feral swine" under this livestock provision, and then a reproduction of that language in the Game and Parks statutes. And that way, nobody will get the impression that since the Legislature put this in two separate sections of statute, dealing with two different subjects, namely, Game and Parks, then the definition of "livestock," there must be the intent on the part of the Legislature that they are different, they mean something different and then confusion can develop. So what we want to do is collapse all of what is being said about the feral swine, the part that relates to how they are to be dealt with, the role and function of the Game and Parks into the Game and Parks provisions of the law. To reassure everybody who might think that feral swine may be considered livestock, we will leave the new language that Senator Kremer wants to put in the livestock section, which is excluding feral swine, and we will add to that, as defined in the section in the Game and Parks provisions of law. Then all of this other material in Senator Kremer's bill can be stripped from this area of the law. And my light is on, so there are a couple of things more that I want to say, but I will give the rest...oh, unless Senator Schrock is giving me all of his time. How much is left, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: About one minute, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. If anybody has a question about the process that we want to go through, then I'm ready to answer any of those questions. I am for what the bill is intending to do. And if we cannot get an amendment properly drafted to do what I've discussed, I believe we ought to adopt Senator Schrock's amendment so that we have before us all of the elements that ultimately the bill will contain. Then move it on to Select File and there will be more than enough time for all of us who are working on this, and have agreed on the process and agreed on the ultimate goal, to get that done. Now when I say those of us who have agreed, I'm not drawing Senator Loudon in. I'm not speaking for him because he still may be opposed to what we're trying to do. But what I am interested in is simplifying the statutory scheme by putting it in one place rather than two.