

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2005

four-digit mark in a two-year session once in awhile, but...or more than once in awhile, but certainly multiple thousands, it doesn't happen, even though it could. So we need to keep things in consideration here for accommodating the entire state. It's not an unreasonable request. Even if we use the term "request," it's certainly not unreasonable, and can be accommodated in a manner and in a format that is accommodating of staff concerns, of costs of the equipment and otherwise. But I think we have an issue here that I do plan to pursue further. And I appreciate the favorable comments and the support that it has received, and so I will pursue that over the coming months and perhaps an interim study and introducing it as a rule change next year, with the proper hearing, and I was not able to accomplish that, given the time frame that I was given this time around. But, with that, Mr. President, I would respectfully ask to withdraw the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The amendment is withdrawn. Back to discussion of the original proposed permanent rules, offered by the Rules Committee. Open for discussion. Seeing no lights on, Senator Hudkins, you're recognized to close on your proposed rules.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Thank you, Mr. President. We have had a good discussion this morning of one proposal that was heard by the Rules Committee, another proposal that was not. I appreciate all of the comments from all of the senators. I think that there were good points and not so good points brought up by all of those involved. It's always good to have this discussion, because when you hear one side you think, oh, that's fine; and then you hear the other side and you think, I never thought of that. So I'm glad we've had this discussion and I would renew my motion to adoption of the permanent rules. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hudkins. You've heard the closing on adoption of permanent rules, offered by the Rules Committee. Question before the body is, shall those rules be adopted? And it does require...oh, thank you, Senator Chambers. I was going to do that, but thanks for reminding me. Appreciate it. The question before the body is, shall those permanent rules be adopted? And it does require 25 votes. All in favor