

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2005

different committee hearings, as we did, as Senator Bourne pointed out, with the redistricting, I think it would improve the image and the responsibilities and the quality of what we produce here in the Legislature. Now, granted, Senator Chambers points out you can send a mule skinner, and that's an obstacle that we all have to overcome, based on our perspective, but I do think that the process that we're asking the people to be engaged in becomes ever better and becomes more productive if they know that they're going to have an opportunity to be a part of the process and be a part of the discussion and it doesn't cost them, as Senator Smith pointed out, \$300 to drive down here. Now, I recognize that my constituents have driven down here to testify on bills in front of the Judiciary Committee. They've driven down here, you know, some of them. From my place to here, it's about 390-400 miles. They've driven down here. They've gotten their five minutes. They turn around and drive home and at that time...excuse me, Senator Bourne corrected me, three minutes...and at that time nobody asked them any questions, so they got three minutes. So they drove all that way, got their three minutes, which is the same right that every citizen in the state of Nebraska is offered. It's the same opportunity. That's the fairness part of it. The fact that we have chosen to live in God's country is our decision and we have to overcome some of those distances. But where there's an opportunity for us to make this process more accessible, I think we have an opportunity to discover how to do that. And absolutely, cost is a part of that; absolutely, access is a part of that, where those facilities are. But as we continue to grow as a state, and its technology infrastructure becomes more of our society, it should be easier and easier to access this information, and it has been. So I stand in support of Senator Smith's attempts here. I'm not opposed to what Senator Chambers is asking us to consider in order to improve or to change the language. I think there has to be some deference given to the Chairmen of the committees, Chairmen and Chairwomen of the committees, to ensure that they ultimately have the direction and control of the committee, as far as timing. But I do think that if we made it more accessible that we would have more input and then, obviously, we can weigh whether or not that input affects the outcome of the legislation that's before us. So, I think it's a healthy discussion. And I'll just say this.