

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2005

copies are being run. So it will be just a moment, but we'll have copies on the desk.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Clerk and Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I don't think this is a good amendment that Senator Smith is offering. It is fraught with problems. And whereas I can understand what he's trying to do, I do not think it is practical or feasible. And I'm going to make a few general comments while the copy of my proposed amendment is being circulated among you. But if you look at the way Senator Smith has drafted this, any member may direct a committee. That means the member supplants the Chair. The member has taken over, and determines that the committee is going to broadcast a public hearing through live video teleconferencing to designated locations within the state. Who makes the designation? On what basis will the designation be made? If I happen to be one of these so-called pro-life senators and I've got a clunker of a bill, but I need it because I'm going to have to establish my pro-life credentials, so I say, I'm directing that the hearing on this bill before the Judiciary Committee be broadcast to whatever designated area in the state I need to shore up some of my support, naturally I'd oppose that, not just because of the subject, but I'm trying to give an example of how this can be misused. I have no idea which locations in the state will accommodate teleconferencing by video. Written notice would be given to the Chairperson no less than three days before this is to occur. So the member comes to the Chairperson and says, this hearing is going to be transmitted to locations A, F, K, N, Z, throughout the state, and there is nothing the Chairperson can do; there's nothing the committee can do. There is nothing anybody can do, because you will have turned the operation and control of the committee over to any member, at least twice in a calendar year. If that particular member is going to dictate which areas the teleconferencing shall be carried, suppose another member objects. It means nothing. Suppose the other member wants to say there are additional sites where it should be sent. That means nothing. The member who is given this carte blanche authority controls everything. That makes no sense to me. Western Nebraska is never going to be treated like eastern Nebraska. Where you have the preponderance of population,