

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2005

chairing the Government Committee, and I didn't really quite realize what was going on internally in the Government Committee, and externally, perhaps, as well--but in 1995, you see there were six bills that were referenced to the Exec Board, which I argue probably could have and should have come to the Government Committee, although I haven't looked them all over. There might be still some Auditor issues left there. Then, in 1996, there were two bills; 1997, there were a group of bills, you'll see about eight of them; in 1998, there were four; in 1999, there were one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine bills that went to the Exec Board, which I would have argued could have gone to the Government Committee. Then the pinkish, orangish, salmonish, whatever it is, sheet starts with the year 2000. In that year there was only one bill; in 2001, there were four; 2002, there were five. And then, in 2003, there were a whole slew of bills that went to Exec Board. In 2004, there were six bills. And in 2005, so far, you can see there have been quite a few bills that have been referenced to the Exec Board. Now, one thing, especially for new members, that needs to be considered is we have what we call standing committees of the Legislature, and then we have select committees and special committees. And it's the standing committees that usually hear bills and hold the hearings, and advance or not the particular bills. So this has been kind of a little bone of contention, I guess you would say, for a few years now. And the Government Committee at one time signed a letter to the Exec Board regarding this issue. And I guess over the years we've gone to the Exec Board and asked them to rereference bills. This year, there have been three so far that I think are real obvious bills that should have come to Government Committee. There's a whole bunch of others that I would argue that historically and traditionally the Government Committee has handled. But I'm willing to make some concessions and say that, yes, the Exec Board probably now has been dealing with a few issues which they should probably keep dealing with. I don't want to be a hard-nose on this. But the point of bringing this to the floor is that I think we need a better definition, a better way of working through the referencing. There needs to be a clear line, perhaps, to the referencing procedure. Now, I think the Government Committee is the one that is primarily impacted by this. But again, we have to think