

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 15, 2004 LB 613

SENATOR MOSSEY: ...that's what domestic relationship is, is the giving and taking of affection for the purposes of a relationship, not a bonding relationship, but an actual relationship.

SENATOR FOLEY: But the language of the bill as I read it--and I'm looking at page 6 of the Final Reading copy of LB 613, page 6, lines 17-18--it doesn't seem to require that there be a sexual involvement. It says "affectional or sexual involvement." And in this case, the case that I'm citing to you, it's strictly affection, father to son, son to father.

SENATOR MOSSEY: And I'm sure you'll agree with me, in a lot of relationships some people are...rather than having a sexual relationship, abstinence. They might be dating, and they prefer to be abstinent until they get married. But that doesn't mean they can't be the victim of domestic violence.

SENATOR FOLEY: True. So you're saying that in the case that I've sketched out, with affection being part of the relationship, and with a regular golf outing scheduled every week, weather permitting, and so forth, that that would not fall within the...within what you're asking us to vote for in this language?

SENATOR MOSSEY: It has to be a relationship with the anticipation of receiving affection back, i.e., like I said, kissing, dating, hugging,...

SENATOR FOLEY: Well, but that language is not in the bill, so.

SENATOR MOSSEY: But that's proved by the prosecutors. That's why we enact the laws and the prosecutors do it. For example, when it would go to court, there would be pictures, there would be witnesses, there would be numerous accounts that would justify these two had a relationship.

SENATOR FOLEY: But suppose there are witnesses attesting that the father and son on most days have a very good, affectionate relationship one toward the other?