

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2004      LR 209

money. There is no way you could legally say that lottery money can be spent for anything that the constitution does not allow the state to spend money for by saying, well, it didn't...it's not derived from taxes. Public money is public money is public money. So that argument shows you how weak the position of Senator Landis is. He mentioned the large amounts spent for education, Medicaid, and he could have mentioned roads and other services and functions that the state is obliged to pay for. What he failed to point out is that none of those is guaranteed in perpetuity an amount of money as you're doing with the State Fair Board here. None of them are placed in the constitution to be guaranteed that money. He can't show you Medicaid in the constitution. He can't show you a guaranteed amount of money for the public schools, for the university system, not one of those things he mentioned, but we all know that. The reason he has to do that is to deflect attention away from the core issues that those of us who oppose this proposition have laid on the record even if our colleagues choose to ignore them. When I was talking about an absence of leadership, I have to let Senator Landis know I wasn't referring to a failure to lead a charge for a tax increase. I mean a failure for those who are showing us the way on raising revenue and appropriating money not giving that leadership and showing us a prudent direction to take on this. They both know, the Chairman of the Revenue Committee, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, that we have gone into Cash Funds, I don't even know the names of all the funds from which we have utilized money. It's there. It's not there like a collector heaps up pieces of porcelain or gold or jewel encrusted rings, necklaces and whatnot just to be placed on a shelf, looked at, and admired. It is money to be used to carry out the functions and purposes of the state. That is what our responsibility is. We are the lawmakers. We set the tone. We determine the direction this state and its people are going to move in and we don't always make the best decisions. We don't always show enlightenment in what we do.

SENATOR JANSSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But there come some opportunities, some situations which are crystal-clear, such as this one, where we can easily distinguish what ought to be a priority from what