

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2004 LR 209

better way to do this and had that been done I wouldn't have the objection. There is no satisfactory way to do this that would remove my objection to its being in the constitution. But what I'm saying if there was a respect for you all or some of you all had paid attention, you would have insisted that language be included which guarantees and protects the existence of this compulsive gamblers fund. So after the word "Fund" would be the words "which is hereby created." You would say "the Compulsive Gamblers Assistance Fund which is hereby created." Then "This provision is self-executing" meaning that the Legislature need not do anything. The Legislature cannot defeat it through inaction. The constitution, by virtue of the language included, would create the fund, ensure its existence until a constitutional amendment wiped it out. But who is looking at this language? Not our legislative leaders. One of them is leading the charge against the constitution. You don't want to create a constitutionally protected Compulsive Gamblers Assistance Fund for some reason. I'm going to hammer again on the idea of priorities. I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question or two because he can help me on this. I don't want to misstate. Senator Wehrbein, was any money cut from the university by the budget that we passed this session?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Was money cut from any...was any money cut from Health and Human Services?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But nothing was cut from Medicaid, is that true?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: That's right. That's a broad statement, but, yes. There might be a case or two, I don't recall any.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Nothing was cut from kindergarten through twelve school funding. Is that correct?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes. In the two-year period there's more money, but in the first year I think there was some decline and