

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2004 LR 209

university and then we have no control over their budget. Put 2 million bucks in the State Fair Board and see how much control you have over it. It's over and done with. So I think this could be in a different place. I think there's plenty of statute room for something like this if you wanted to support State Fair. But I don't think that it should be put in as an amendment to the constitution and taken out of the lottery fund or wherever. I think I understand the reason they put it in the constitution because that's where the lottery fund is and they can siphon it off. But once that's in there and done for, we have no way of ever controlling it that I can see unless you have another vote at a general election. So, myself, I'm really against putting it in the constitution. If Senator Landis wants some help for the State Fair, I'm sure there's other ways it can be done. We have to have support from the local merchants. Most of our county fairs have a great deal of support from the local merchants. Your premium money doesn't necessarily all go to FFA and 4-H people. Why, that premium money goes to adults and it goes to businessmen and corporations and livestock owners that do it for a living. There's purebred breeders and that sort of thing as some of your premium money will go to. And the time has come where to evaluate your State Fair. Perhaps it should be reevaluated. Perhaps the location should be different than what it is. Where it is at this present time isn't the easiest place to get in and out of there. Some of your other...as you get more urban areas down here in the Lincoln area, you've lost your agricultural part of the State Fair so how can you compete with your open air grandstand shows when you have a sports center within a stone's throw of the same place that they can sit right through a thunderstorm and watch a show? So I think this thing whole has to be evaluated.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR LOUDEN: I think it's a case where Senator Landis has kind of got the cart before the horse here. I think this is something he should come back with next year and probably do the job right. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Louden. There are no further lights. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close.