

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004 LB 625

statutory language that says what must be in a notice is different from the language in other sections of statute related to the same subject. Why put language in the statute describing what must be in a notice, if what must be in a notice is not accurate? Why have a statute on the right hand which is restrictive, but you mandate that a notice be sent which is broader than what the statute allows? What kind of problem is created if you make the notice statute language conform to what the statute requires and will allow to be made available. I think we're at one of those places where Senator Brown has been told to oppose any amendment no matter what. Otherwise, I see no justification whatsoever for rejecting this amendment. Once again, it does relate to the notice, and that ought to be accurate. If the notice contains what this statutory language that Senator Brown wants us to accept, if the notice contains that, it contains misinformation. In fact, it contains false information. The person, in being told what he or she is entitled to, is misled. He or she is not entitled to any investigative report; he or she is entitled to any final investigative report. So I'm asking that you make the language, which is in a bill that I did not draft, consistent with the existing law in terms of what a person is entitled to. The law that is being changed specifies the notice to be given. The language of that notice is inaccurate. It misrepresents the law. And I see nothing wrong with making this notice provision of statute reflect what actually takes place under the law. I will ask for a call of the house, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the request for a call of the house. All in favor of the house going under call vote aye, opposed nay. You may check in. Actually, we are on Final Reading, for a motion to return. But Senators, please check in. Members, please check in. We are on Final Reading. Roll call vote in regular order has been requested. Members, please check in. Senator Raikes, Senator Kruse. Senator Dwite Pedersen. Senator Chambers, did you wish to wait for Senator Pedersen?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: He's here. All members are present or