

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004      LB 625

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A final investigative report may not contain all of the information that is found in earlier investigative reports that led to a conclusion. So if you want to be consistent as a beginning, you should adopt my amendment and make this language parallel the existing language. Senator Brown has not given any argument for not making this language consistent, other than to say that there is reference to a different section of statute which does contain the word "final." But whenever you're mirroring or tracking the existing language, it should be consistent, it should be harmonious. Unless she is trying to get you to adopt a wider sweep of this law than what she has said, there is no...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...reason not to restrict it with the word "final," as is the case in the existing language of the law. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Brown. And this will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Mr. President. The reference is there. The point that Senator Chambers is making is about a notification. The notification comes at the time that the person has served, and just says that they can receive this information, which they are already allowed by law to do. The notification references sections of law of exactly what they can receive. It is an unnecessary amendment. But I also have to say that Senator Chambers is relying on information that is in a letter that includes statements by an attorney that it was...that was alleged by the person who wrote this letter that this attorney spoke to me and had reservations about it, both of which were untrue after the point of time when he found out what was actually contained in the bill. So I'm not sure that we can rely on anything that was included in that letter, since my experience has been that what he is asserting about activities that have gone on are totally incorrect. And if we are going to be concerned about what the impact is going to be, I think that we need to rely on HUD and the NEOC, both of which, if you