

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004      LB 625

difference between an investigative report and a document such as you read about in that...

SENATOR BROWN: Our current...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...statement you read?

SENATOR BROWN: ...our current state law says that the investigative report should be available. This clarifies that it shall be copies. I think that there's a distinction.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we're not talking about just a document in either case, are we?

SENATOR BROWN: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, there are places you can go and read documents, but you cannot make copies. Sometimes they will not even let you take notes, so they will not let you take writing implements with you, because of the use that might be made while an investigation is ongoing. But you will notice that the existing law does not allow copies. And the existing law talks about a final investigative report. Now what my amendment does, since Senator Brown is opposed even to that, is to say that on page 2, in line 25, where they're talking about any investigative report, that is broader than the existing law, which says, final investigative report. If it's final, that means the investigation is complete. She says, because there's a reference in other places--and I pointed it out to her; that's why she knows it's there--the word "final" does appear before the word "investigative." So since that is the language in the existing law, and this new language purports to track the existing law language, the new language I'm talking about is on page 2, in line 25. The existing law...language I'm talking about is on page 4, in line 6. On line 25, on page 2, it says, an investigation and any investigative report. On line 6 of page 4, investigation and any final investigative report. There's a difference between any investigative report and any final investigative report.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.