

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004 LB 625

participated in some of these tests, although it didn't have a formal structure. There were organizations that did this to try to prove that this kind of discrimination occurred. And it occurred in the environments of Creighton University, not "way out west," as it was called, but right in the vicinity where Creighton University was located. It was clear that I was a student. I was the age of a student. It was supposed to be housing available for students. But it was not available to me. And when white students went, it was readily available. When I complained to the Creighton administration, a guy named Jim Kelly (phonetic) was the president, and I told him, you ought to charge less tuition to black students, because the services available to white students are not available to us. Creighton had no dormitories then. They had what they call approved off-campus housing. Any student who came to Creighton from outside the city had to live in that housing. But when black students went there and were turned away, Creighton didn't say they would take that housing off the list; they gave a dispensation to the black students so that they could get housing wherever they could find it. So we're very familiar with it. There are others who will be cavalier and say, it's no big deal; pass the bill. Well, I'm prepared to do all I can to keep it from being passed this session. I've offered a couple of amendments which...I know one of them might be accepted because all it does is make the new language they're offering correspond to existing language in the law. But others...other language I'm not in favor of, and I don't want to trigger that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...review by HUD, because the current laxity of the NEOC procedure. And it was put in place under duress from the Legislature. It may be found to be out of substantial compliance, which would mean a decertification. And if that happens, the money is not forthcoming. We would have to come back and try to scramble to change the law. People who don't like the NEOC would vote against changing the law, and the NEOC would be crippled, maybe to the point where it is totally ineffective. But I'm opposed to that. Therefore, I'm offering this motion to return to make a correction, to see just where