

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004 LB 625

Discrimination exists in this society. There is not the will on the part of the broader society to deal with discrimination, because the broader society is where the perpetrators are found. People such as myself, who have experienced it in the past, experience it on an ongoing basis, who has family members who have experienced it--I got a couple of calls today on these matters. One relates to discrimination--I'm sure that's what it is--taking place within the city government of Omaha. So it is always with us. But those who choose to discriminate, those who choose to aid and abet those who discriminate, will tell me, well, Ernie, just let the law go through, and maybe HUD will take the money and maybe they won't, we're just not sure. But if there was money from the federal government that dealt with any issue other than racial discrimination, there would not be this plase attitude that, well, if we pass this bill which there's no compelling reason to pass, the state may lose some federal money, the bill would not be passed. NEOC last year got over \$500,000. If that money is lost, the state is not going to make the NEOC whole. I and others have had to fight in the past to keep the operation from being abolished. One of the things that has helped keep it afloat is the fact that a lot of older white men now are turning to the NEOC, charging age discrimination. And there are more white women charging gender discrimination. So it's not viewed anymore as an agency that "just"--and I put that word in quotes--deals with the concerns of the traditional minority group members. But even if it were, that amount of money should not be placed at risk. If this bill is not passed, what happens? Let those who support the passage of the bill and the risking of that money explain. Let them explain and convince the body that there is some issue so compelling that despite the concerns expressed about the impact of this bill, it ought to be passed anyway. I'm not willing to undergo that risk, as I stated on the front of that handout that I gave you. There is a practice known as testing. And you may have seen commercials on television in public interest shots, where a piece of property is listed as being for rent. Somebody of my complexion will try to get the place rented; that person is told it's already rented. Other minority group members who are recognizable as such will experience the same fate. Then a white person comes, and the place is available, and it's rented. I know this happens, because when I was at Creighton, I