

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004 LB 1017

SENATOR LANDIS: This amendment is aimed at the state revenue tax code. We could not speak authoritatively for cities. Cities did not step forward, didn't ask to do that. And I don't...I've got to tell you, they've never asked for it, I'd be uncomfortable until they did, and even then I'd have...we'd have to think about it pretty carefully. And one of the reasons is, tax amnesty programs work if you advertise them, and if people know where they can get answers. The problem with the property tax system is, how many administrators of an amnesty program would work, given that there are 93 property tax systems out there, one per county? That might be problematical. All I can tell you is, we took this from the state tax code perspective, Mick.

SENATOR MINES: Understand.

SENATOR LANDIS: There might be an application along the...once we're done with this, maybe the League of Municipalities will...

SENATOR MINES: Or the counties.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and the counties will have a chance to look at it and and reflect on it. But right now, we're...

SENATOR MINES: Yeah, it...

SENATOR LANDIS: ...looking at state tax code.

SENATOR MINES: It could make some sense perhaps next year. I'm just...I wondered if during the thought process property taxes might have been included. And I understand why they weren't. And I appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Mines. Senator Beutler, Landis amendment. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. Senator Landis, a couple of questions, if I may. And I still have to admit that I'm struggling to get it all read. But what I'm primarily interested in is what I think is a unique and hopefully good provision, that apparently puts a part of the