

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004 LB 1017

that up because I think the record...the body needs to know the record is clear. In fact, the reason I brought this up originally, I had intended to introduce a bill, and the people of Revenue told me they thought there would be a possibility that people would take advantage of it by waiting a while and then getting another amnesty. Senator Landis has assured us, and I think it's well for us to understand, that you can only do this once. Therefore, we as a state are protected...or a county or city, whatever, are protected from people trying to take advantage of an amnesty that Senator Landis is introducing. Because of that, I intend to certainly strongly support the bill. Thank you, Senator Landis. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion, Senator Louden. Senator...

SENATOR LOUDEN: Thank you, Senator Cudaback and members of the Legislature. I think this type of amendment, a one-time amnesty--as Senator Landis has said, it would be a one-time--I think is probably a good idea if there's funds out there that haven't been paid over the years. I guess I would question...I was wondering how many years back they can go, and that sort of thing. I was wondering if Senator Landis would yield to a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you respond?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yeah, Senator Landis, is there a length of time on that, how far back can we...they can go to get the amnesty on their taxes?

SENATOR LANDIS: No, there isn't. Remember, Senator Louden, that this has to do in years in which you did not file a return. This isn't, I didn't declare a particular piece, or I didn't do that. Those would be delinquent taxes, and those would not...that's not what amnesty applies to here. What applies...what it applies to here is years you did not file at all.