

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2004 LB 1065

out. In the scheme of things it doesn't matter, but I did notice a little rural-urban split there. So it's fine if you want somebody else to pay the bill, but as long as you don't have to, you'll vote for it I guess.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I will vote red on this again also. The reason that it is not made whole right now is because that's what the body decided. The proposal that was before us in LB 1065 was .3 cents on gasoline tax was to make it whole. The body decided that they didn't want to make it whole because we'd wait down the line till 2010, and I can assure that this is not going to make it whole. I can almost guarantee you that a few years down the road if even one more plant comes on that we don't anticipate other than the four, it's not going to be whole then either. So I think we can address that at the time. I would just echo what Senator Baker said and Senator Schrock that it's just another \$7.5 million on the corn checkoff. We tried to have a balance. That's what the proposal was in LB 1065, to have a balance, even though that balance has shifted a long ways from where it was originally of 50-50 of General Funds and checkoff. It's shifted to the corn checkoff dramatically already. This is just another shift of another \$7.5 million that's going to shift to the corn growers and corn producers in this state. It's not going to make it whole any more than if we don't do anything. Again, we decided we didn't want to make it whole. We voted down LB 1065 in its original form. With that, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion, the motion to reconsider, Senator Connealy.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I strongly oppose the reconsideration. I don't know if I was strong enough the first time when this came around. The reason LB 1065 got here, the reason that the agreement that came out of committee and the like, was because there was a balance. That balance went away on General File. We took a lot of the money out of it from the public and put more burden on the ag sector