

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004 LB 958

SENATOR CUDABACK: Yes, a question please.

SENATOR FOLEY: Yes, I'll yield. How much time do we have, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You have about 2, 34.

SENATOR FOLEY: Okay, thank you. I think that will be sufficient. I think the approach that I'm taking is superior because it's consistent with what the U.S. Supreme Court and our own laws have set forth. As recently as last year and the year before, when we enacted our fetal homicide law two years ago and the wrongful death statute one year ago, we very specifically and deliberately gave separate and distinct recognition to the unborn child. To take a different approach now on the assault statute and to say, no, it's not a separate victim, it's only one victim with enhanced penalties takes us in a completely different path, and I'm reluctant to want to go down that path. I think that it would create a glaring inconsistency and a rather peculiar inconsistency, and I don't want to go down there. But your other question relates to whether or not this bill is an attack on Roe v. Wade. I suppose one could argue that philosophically or intellectually it attacks Roe v. Wade, but not in a legal sense which is what we ought to be concerned about today, because the mother, as we've acknowledge, is specifically excluded, and we're not talking about abortion under any stretch under the parameters of this bill. So I've been pretty clear, I think, about my view on Roe v. Wade. I want that decision to be overturned someday, and I believe it will be overturned someday...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR FOLEY: ...because the decision itself is so badly flawed. And I think that there are many constitutional lawyers who are not pro-life but have just examined it as objectively as they can who have come to the conclusion that Roe v. Wade really wasn't reasoned very well. It wasn't written very well and created this right to an abortion of whole cloth and that ultimately the decision will fall. But I don't think this bill