

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004 LB 958

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Provable...

SENATOR FOLEY: So if...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...direct cause.

SENATOR FOLEY: ...so if a person engages in drunk driving and that act results in the provable direct cause of serious bodily damage to the unborn child, then the penalty would apply.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And if a person absentmindedly, shall we say, or because they actually didn't see a stop sign, ran the stop sign...

SENATOR FOLEY: No, that's reckless driving or will...or perhaps a more egregious case would be willful reckless driving. Those cases, unless I'm mistaken, they are not covered here. This relates to the drunk driving statutes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I see. Okay, thank you very much. All right, that's what the 60-60...

SENATOR FOLEY: Correct.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...refers to.

SENATOR FOLEY: Correct.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, thank you. Senator Chambers, I rose in support of the idea of your amendment but I'm not certain the exact wording of it would really stand up in the long run, and I'd just give you a chance to respond. Was this one of those kind of amendments that were hastily drawn, or do you feel that this amendment would stand up long term? Because I support the idea behind it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, it would give direction in an area where otherwise there is absolutely no guideposts of any kind.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.