

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004 LB 958

things that...acts that a woman could do. One could be reckless. And in your discussion, you've chosen to highlight the reckless kinds of activities. On the other hand, there is the knowingly and intentional act, which it's hard to imagine that any woman would do.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But on the other hand, I suppose it's conceivable that a woman that couldn't otherwise get an abortion, because of the rules that exist, could initiate a self-induced abortion. Should that woman be excluded from the act?

SENATOR FOLEY: She should, because that's not the purpose of the act and that's not at all where I want to go with this act. I do not want to prosecute pregnant women under any...under any circumstance.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. On with discussion. Senator Friend, and this will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President. I wasn't going to take much time, and any of our constitutional law professors out here that would like the rest of my time, they're more than welcome. What I was...what I was really trying to do, and Senator Chambers legitimately helped, I mean I had some...I felt were legitimate questions, because it's been a long time since I've read that law or that decision as well. And what I was trying to do was sum up these feeling. Because I'm...I've established and tried to maintain and communicate my feeling about issues like this in political circles and in private circles, wherever, you try to back it up with things that seem to make sense to you and others from a pragmatic standpoint, a practical standpoint as well. Because sometimes the philosophical, the religious and moral arguments can get chopped up by those that believe they're more pragmatic. What I'm trying to do and what I've always felt when I've studied this issue is there's really three directions that you can go when