

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004 LB 958

me, I guess, and maybe we can't go down that road in this short a period of time, that that particular group of judges or that judicial review didn't turn into a legislative process back in 1973, I believe. And...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Friend, anytime...

SENATOR FRIEND: So you're...the time is yours.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay. Thank you. Anytime a court is presented with a constitutional provision or a statute which is not clear on its face, the court will interpret or construe it. And if the court comes up with an understanding of the statute different from that held by others, those who disagree will say the court, in effect, legislated, it changed what the Legislature meant. But in fact, that is what judicial review consists of and they stated their opinion, they...and then they arrived at a decision. The decision...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is how they decide. The opinion is the rationale they give. You disagree with the court's conclusion in Roe v. Wade. Well, a lot of people, in showing how politically charged that whole question is, they feel, those who are of your school, that if another judge is to be appointed and the appointing power can find one who agrees with your school, there would be enough votes on the court to reverse the existing Roe v. Wade decision, which means that politics is deeply involved. There's no question about it. Where your position is different from others, you're acknowledging that you're going by what you feel, what your opinion is, and you're making it, frankly, that. That's why I cannot deal with that aspect of it. You're entitled to that. But I just disagree with the conclusion you've arrived at because I take the woman as my point of departure in this whole subject, not an embryo or a fetus, the woman. So if an attack on a...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...pregnant woman resulted in an enhanced