

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004      LB 958

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I would like to give my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you have almost five minutes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, as you listen to Senator Maxwell, you see the exact demonstration by him of what I was talking about. He departs from the language that he quoted and says, well, don't get hung up on that word "development"; it doesn't mean what you think it means; just put that aside; let it mean what Senator Maxwell wants to say it means. So if you disagree with his interpretation of the language, you're wrong. If this is a scientific question, which this bill is not based on, just take the language that is there. His language does not say a human being exists at the point of fertilization. It talks about the single cell called a zygote, not a human being. When it talks about later stages or phases of development prior to birth, it uses the terms "embryonic" and "fetal." If the writer of this textbook were a priest or a preacher or some other super...purveyor of superstition, that person would have said a human being exists at the point of conception. What Senator Maxwell and Senator Foley are trying to embroil us in with language such as you find in Senator Foley's proposed bill is the kind of thing that was argued during the monkey trial. Things that have no basis in science, no basis in fact, but are based on misguided religious notions which are to be imposed on other people, especially in the schools, so that they would hold to one orthodox, albeit erroneous, view of humankind, the origin of humankind, and the things that flow from those ideas. Senator Foley and Senator Maxwell can be taken seriously by some people on this floor, but I say again no scientist would waste time with two people who know so little about what they're talking about. The first thing, if I were the advocate of the writer of the textbook, that I would say in response to Senator Maxwell, don't interpret the term "human development" to mean what your religion says about the beginning of a human being. The term "human being" is nowhere in this language. You interpolated that and, by so doing, you changed what the author wrote and you want to substitute your notion. Since you